

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/762,880	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael C Astorino	3736

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael C Astorino. (3) _____.

(2) Stephen C. Timmins. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Double Patenting
Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

6,315,720

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner informed the attorney of record that a terminal disclaimer would obviate over the double patenting rejection. The attorney stated he would fax in the terminal disclaimer.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)